



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,792	01/03/2001	Cyrus Kurosh Samari	S99-002-02-US	1961
54092 7590 02/11/2009 NORTH OAKS PATENT AGENCY 45 ISLAND ROAD NORTH OAKS, MN 55127			EXAMINER POPOVICI, DOV	
			ART UNIT 2625	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/11/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/753,792	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SAMARI-KERMANI, KUROSU	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dov Popovici	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dov Popovici. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Shawn B. Dempster (Reg. No. 34,321). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pelanek.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Applicant argument and claim 15 in view of Pelanek. It appears that Pelanek does not teach recording medical data viewing software on the same disc as the medical data. However, claim 15 does not call for or does not recite "recording medical data viewing software on the same disc as the medical data" as argued.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dov Popovici/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625	
---	--